Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected

AUG 04 2017

In the Matter of)	FCC Mailroom
Phoebe Sumter Medical Center)) WC Docket No. 02	2-60
Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrative Company	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL	
To: Wireline Competition Bureau	LE OUT ONIGINAL	

REQUEST FOR REVIEW AND RULE WAIVER

Pursuant to §§ 54.719(c) and 54.720(a) of the Commission's Rules ("Rules"), Phoebe Sumter Medical Center ("Sumter") hereby requests that the Commission review and reverse the decision of the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") below, waive § 54.605 of the Rules, and grant funding to Sumter as specified herein. In support thereof, the following is respectfully submitted:

FACTS

Phoebe Sumter Medical Center is a 143-bed acute care facility with more than 50 active medical staff members representing more than 25 specialties.

In 2010, Sumter engaged a consulting firm, USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. ("UHC"), to assist it in obtaining Universal Service support through the Telecommunications Program ("Telecom Program") for rural health care providers ("HCPs"). Sumter authorized UHC to prepare the FCC Forms 465 ("Form 465") and the FCC Forms 466 ("Form 466") necessary to obtain Telecom Program funding and to submit them electronically to USAC's Rural Health Care Division ("RHCD").

> No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

UHC helped Sumter obtain funding for switched Ethernet services that connected to their corporate location.

As the Commission is aware, participants in the Telecom Program have found it difficult to determine urban rates as required by § 54.605 of the Rules. As set forth in the Declaration of Geoff W. Boggs, UHC's Chief Executive Officer, UHC found it difficult to obtain tariffed or publicly available rates for high-speed Ethernet packet-based services that are offered in urban areas (cities with populations of 50,000 or more). Consequently, UHC followed the practice of obtaining urban rates from urban service providers. To document the urban rate, UHC asked the provider to supply a letter on its letterhead that states the rate that is charged in an urban area in the state.

In the case of Sumter, UHC relied on a letter from Scott Madison, the managing member of Network Services Solutions ("NSS"). Mr. Madison represented that "[t]he urban rate for a 100 Mbps Ethernet connection in Atlanta, Ga. is \$50.00 per channel termination. This rate is based upon a 36-month contract." UHC prepared and submitted a Form 466 for Sumter that gave \$100.00 as the urban rate for 100 Mbps Ethernet service.

On March 29, 2017, the RHCD requested that Sumter explain how it derived the \$100.00 urban rate to provide urban rate documentation.⁷ In response, UHC provided the RHCD with

¹ See, e.g., Comments of Alaska Communications, GN Docket No. 16-46, at 12-13 (May 24, 2017) ("Alaska Communications Comments").

² See Exhibit 1 at 2 (\P 7).

³ See id. (¶ 8).

⁴ See id.

⁵ Id. (¶ 9).

⁶ See id. at 6 (¶ 6), 2 (Table 2).

⁷ See id. at 3 (¶¶ 11, 12).

documents showing that BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC offered to provide up to 100 Mbps switched Ethernet service throughout Georgia at monthly charge of \$195.00 under a three-year contract. Thereafter, UHC repeatedly asked if the RHCD needed additional information or if it could speak with the RHCD staffer who was reviewing the \$195.00 urban rate. UHC expected that it would be contacted if the RHCD had any questions with regard to the urban rate, and that it would be afforded the opportunity to address any such questions before the RHCD would render its funding decisions. However, UHC was given no such opportunity.

On June 2, 2017, the RHCD notified Sumter that USAC was "unable to provide support" to Sumter, specifically because it had not "demonstrated that the urban rate provided for the requested service is 'no higher than the highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged to a commercial customer for a *functionally similar* service' in any city with a population of 50,000 or more in that state." The RHCD did not explain why Sumter's submissions were insufficient or why it did not grant Sumter's requests for the opportunity to address the urban rate issue.

The denial of these discounts create additional burden on our rural facility to provide high standards of health care to our patients in an era of reduced reimbursements, failure to expand Medicare and higher demands on the use and integration of electronic systems.

⁸ See id. (¶ 13).

⁹ See id. at 4-5 (¶¶ 14, 15, 17-19).

¹⁰ See id. at 5 (¶ 21).

¹¹ See id.

¹² *Id.* (¶ 22).

WAIVER STANDARD

Sumter seeks a waiver of § 54.603 of the Rules to permit it to receive the appropriate level of USF support for the Funding Year 2016. The Commission has the discretion to grant the requested waiver under § 1.3 of the Rules, which provides:

The provisions of this chapter may be suspended, revoked, amended, or waived for good cause shown, in whole or in part, at any time by the Commission, subject to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ["APA"] and the provisions of this chapter. Any provision of the rules may be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on petition if good cause therefor is shown.¹³

Generally speaking, the Commission may exercise its discretion under the APA and § 1.3 of the Rules to suspend or waive a Rule for good cause "only if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general and such deviation will serve the public interest." *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co., L.P. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990). Of course, the Commission must grant waivers pursuant to an "appropriate general standard." *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969). The Wireline Competition Bureau ("WTB") recently set forth the general standard that is applied to requests for waivers of §§ 54.600 – 54.625 of the Rules, which govern the Telecom Program:

The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest. In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis. Waiver of the Commission's rules is appropriate only if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public interest.¹⁴

ARGUMENT

In the words of one participant in the Telecom Program, the rules governing the program

¹³ 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

¹⁴ Rural Health Care Universal Service Support Mechanism, 2017 WL 735668, at *2 (WTB Feb. 10, 2017). (footnotes omitted) ("NSS Waiver Decision").

("Telecom Rules") "written two decades ago for a world of tariffed low-bandwidth, circuit-switched services are increasingly unworkable." In 2012, the Commission promised to address potential reforms to the Telecom Program "at a future date." In the meantime, it has allowed its woefully outdated Telecom Rules to remain in effect. Section 54.605 of the Telecom Rules is one such rule.

Adopted in 1997, § 54.605 of the Telecom Rules has remained virtually unchanged. ¹⁸ The rule provides that the "urban rate" that an HCP should pay is "a rate no higher than the highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged to a commercial customer for a functionally similar service in any city with a population of 50,000 or more in that state, calculated as if it were provided between two points within the city." Although "[d]etermining the urban rate" is the heading of § 54.605, the rule does address exactly how an HCP should go about determining the "highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged" for a similar service in an urban area.

The Commission assumed in 1997 that such the urban rate would be "tariffed or publicly available" and thus readily accessible. That assumption may have been well founded in 1997, but not so today. Now, HCPs use high-bandwidth services, like video and teleconferencing, which are provided by lightly-regulated competitive carriers over high-speed Ethernet packet-based networks. Those services are provided at competitive, market-driven rates, which often are neither tariffed nor publicly-available.¹⁹ USAC was undoubtedly aware that HCPs were experiencing

¹⁵ Alaska Communications Comments at 12.

¹⁶ Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, 27 FCC Rcd 16678, 16751 n.433 (2012)

¹⁷ See id. at 16815 (¶ 344).

¹⁸ Compare Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 9348-49 (1997) with 47 C.F.R. § 54.605 (2017).

¹⁹ See Exhibit 1 at 2 (¶ 7).

difficulty in ascertaining the urban rates for broadband Ethernet-based services.

The difficulties UHC experienced in obtaining urban rates for Ethernet services led it to obtain the urban rates for such services from urban service providers.²⁰ UHC's practice would be to obtain a letter on a service provider's letterhead that would state the rate that is charged in an urban area in the state for an Ethernet service similar to that required by the HCP. UHC would provide USAC with a copy of the service provider's letter to document the urban rate. The provision of such a letter is an approved means of documenting an urban rate.²¹

In this case, UHC obtained a letter on NSS's letterhead that represented that the urban rate for 100 Mbps Ethernet service in Atlanta, Ga. was \$50.00 per channel termination. The Commission subsequently found that NSS's determinations of urban rates apparently were not calculated in the manner required by § 54.605 of the Telecom Rules.²² Accordingly, when the RHCD questioned the validity of the urban rate that NSS supplied to Sumter, UHC obtained documentation from another urban service provider, to amend our urban rate, to show that \$195.00 was the urban rate for 100 Mbps Ethernet service in Georgia.²³ UHC obtained such documentation and submitted it to the RHCD in timely fashion.²⁴

During the 65-day period between March 29, 2017, when Sumter responded to the RHCD's inquiry, and June 2, 2017, when the RHCD rendered its funding decision, the RHCD did not: (1) advise UHC that its submission did not demonstrate its urban rate was no higher than the highest

²⁰ See id. at 2 (¶ 8).

²¹ See Form 466 Instructions, at 8 (July 2014) (urban rate documentation "may include tariff pages, contracts, a letter on company letterhead from the urban service provider, rate pricing information printed from the urban service provider's website, or similar documentation").

²² See Network Services Solutions, LLC, 31 FCC Rcd 12238, 12275 (¶ 107) (2016).

²³ See Exhibit 1 at 3-4 (¶ 13).

²⁴ See id.

rate charged in Atlanta, Ga. for 100 Mbps Ethernet service; (2) respond to UHC's repeated requests for feedback; or (3) give UHC an opportunity to correct Sumter 's response by specifying that the urban rate for the Ethernet service should be \$1,296.88(\$648.44 x 2). The RHCD simply and inexplicably denied funding to Sumter.

Under the special circumstances of this case, the strict enforcement of § 54.605 would be inequitable, inconsistent with the policies embodied in § 254(h)(1)(A) of the Act, and ultimately inconsistent with the public interest. With respect to the equities, the Commission should note the following facts.

- It is difficult for HCPs to determine the urban rates for Ethernet services in accordance with the outdated requirements of § 54.605.
- Sumter complied with the Commission's requirement that it submit "missing or relevant support documentation" within 14 days of the RHCD's request for information.²⁵
- UHC relied on NSS's \$50.00 urban rate in good faith, and that reliance led it to incorrectly identify AT&T's Ethernet basic port charge of \$195.00 as the urban rate in its initial response to the RHCD's inquiry.²⁶
- UHC reasonably expected that the RHCD would give it the opportunity to correct any errors in its initial submission.²⁷
- The RHCD ignored UHC's repeated requests to be informed of any problem with its proposed urban rate, and to be given the opportunity to address any such problem.
- UHC could have corrected its error in timely fashion had the RHCD clearly informed UHC

²⁵ Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, 30 FCC Rcd 230, 231 (¶ 3) (WCB 2015).

²⁶ See Exhibit 1 at 3-4 (¶ 13), Attachment 1.

²⁷ See id. at 5 (¶ 21).

that the urban rate had to include one of AT&T's "committed information rates" ("CIRs") as well as its basic port charge.²⁸

Once Sumter learned that the urban rate should include AT&T's port charge and a CIR,
 UHC proposed the correct urban rate of \$648.44 per terminating end.²⁹

Sumter respectfully submits that RHCD abused its discretion when it refused to allow UHC to correct its mistaken reliance on NSS. The RHCD's refusal to grant equitable relief to Sumter makes it inequitable for the Commission to strictly enforce § 54.605 in this case. The Commission should grant Sumter a limited waiver of § 54.605 to permit it to receive funding for the Fiscal Year 2016. Such action would be consistent with the relief that the Commission has afforded other HCPs whose reliance on NSS led USAC to deny their funding requests. *See NSS Waiver Decision*, 2017 WL 735668, at *2-3 (¶¶ 6-8).

Grant of the requested waiver would comport with the policy that Congress codified when it authorized the Commission to establish the Telecom Program. Congress instructed the Commission to base policies for the preservation and advancement of universal service in part on the principle that HCPs "should have access to advance telecommunications services as described in [§ 254(h) of the Act]." Section 254(h)(1)(A) of the Act provides:

A telecommunications carrier shall, upon receiving a bona fide request, provide telecommunications services which are necessary for the provision of health care services in a State, including instruction relating to such services, to any public or nonprofit [HCP] that serves persons who reside in rural areas in that State at rates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas in that State. A telecommunications carrier providing service under this paragraph shall be entitled to have an amount equal to the difference, if any, between the rates for services provided to [HCPs] for rural areas in a State and the rates for similar services provided to other customers in comparable rural areas in that State treated

²⁸ See id. at 5-6 (¶¶ 23, 24), Attachment 3.

²⁹ See id. at 5-6 (¶ 23), Attachment 3.

³⁰ 47 U.S.C. § 254(b)(6).

as a service obligation as a part of its obligation to participate in the mechanisms to preserve and advance universal service.³¹

Congress codified the policy that HCPs be afforded access to advanced telecommunications services, such as Ethernet-based broadband services, at rates that are reasonably comparable to urban rates for similar services. That Congressional policy must outweigh the interests of "efficiency and effectiveness" that are served by the 14-day deadline for submitting urban rate documentation to the RHCD.³² And that policy would clearly be served if the Commission permits Sumter to submit a Form 466 that will allow it to receive Ethernet services at rates that are in fact reasonably comparable to the rates charged by AT&T for similar Ethernet services in cities in Georgia. The Commission should reverse the RHCD and grant the rule waiver that is necessary to allow Sumter to submit such a Form 466 to the RHCD *nunc pro tunc* as of March 29, 2017.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a Form 466 for SUMTER that lists a rural rate of \$2450.00 for the 100 Mbps Ethernet service and an urban rate of \$1,296.88 (\$648.44 per terminating end). Sumter respectfully requests that the Commission; (1) waive § 54.605 of the Telecom Rules to the limited extent of allowing Sumter to submit the Form 466 that is attached as Exhibit 2 to USAC; and (2) direct USAC to process the Form 466 as if it had been submitted on March 29, 2017 in response to the RHCD's request for information.

Respectfully submitted,

Phoebe Sumter Medical Center

³¹ 47 U.S.C. § 254(h)(1)(A).

³² Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, 30 FCC Rcd at 231 (¶ 3).

By:

Ross M. Youngdale IS Director 417 3rd Ave. W., Albany, GA 31701 (229) 312-1247 August 1, 2017

EXHIBIT 1

DECLARATION

- I, Geoff W. Boggs, do hereby declare as follows:
- 1. I am the Chief Executive Officer of USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. ("UHC").
- 2. USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. is a Kentucky based corporation that assists nonprofit Healthcare Facility with their Universal Service Fund ("USF") applications.
- 3. Sumter Regional Hospital ("Sumter") is a 143-bed acute care facility with more than 50 active medical staff members representing more than 25 specialties.
- 4. UHC was retained to assist Sumter in obtaining USF support through the Telecommunications Program ("Telecom Program") for rural health care providers ("HCPs"). Sumter authorized UHC to prepare the FCC Forms 465 ("Form 465s") and the FCC Forms 466 ("Form 466s") necessary to obtain Telecom Program funding and to submit them electronically to the Rural Health Care Division ("RHCD") of the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC").
- 5. I am preparing this declaration to support the appeal and request for waiver that Sumter plans to file with respect to the RHCD's decisions not to approve the funding request number ("FRN") identified in Table 1 below:

TABLE 1

Fund Year	HCP Number	HCP Name	FRN
2016	15831	Sumter Regional Hospital	1690368

6. UHC prepared and submitted the Form 465s and Form 466 associated with the FRN identified above. I was listed as the contact person at Line 16 of the Form 465s and I electronically signed and certified the Form 466. The Form 466 that was submitted electronically to USAC on Sumter 29, 2016 included the information set forth in Table 2.

TABLE 2

					Urban
НСР	FRN	Service	Bandwidth	Rural Rate	Rate
15831 Sumter Regional Hospital	1690368	Ethernet	100 Mpbs	\$2,450.00	\$100.00

- 7. UHC found it difficult to obtain tariffed or publicly available rates for high-speed Ethernet packet-based services that are offered in urban areas (cities with populations of 50,000 or more). Typically, such services are provided by lightly-regulated competitive carriers that neither publish tariffs nor make their urban rates available to the public.
- 8. Because of the difficulty of obtaining publicly-available urban rates for Ethernet services, UHC followed the practice of obtaining urban rates from urban service providers. To document the urban rate, UHC asked the provider to supply a letter on its letterhead that states the rate that is charged in an urban area in the state for an Ethernet service similar to that required by the HCP.
- 9. To provide the urban rate documentation required by Line 41 of the Form 466, Sumter submitted a letter from Scott Madison, the managing member of Network Services Solutions ("NSS"). Mr. Madison represented that "[t]he urban rate for a 100 Meg Ethernet connection in Atlanta, GA. is \$50.00 per channel termination. This rate is based upon a 36-month contract." I understood that NSS provided service to HCPs in the Telecom Program, and I was led to believe that I could rely on the urban rates that NSS supplied.
- 10. As far as I am aware, there is no Commission rule that informs an HCP of how it must submit a Form 466 electronically to USAC, or how the HCP must document the urban rate that is provided in a Form 466. Moreover, I do not know of a Commission rule that affords an HCP no more than 14 calendar days to respond to a USAC request for omitted or adequate documentation of the urban rate. I was led to believe that an HCP was free to supplement its initial

response to a USAC request for urban rate documentation.

11. On March 27, 2017, the RHCD sent emails to Sumter and UHC, it referred to an attachment that posed questions with regard to the HCP the above-identified FRN. The email stated, "Please submit your responses to these inquiries by no later than fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of this letter. Failure to provide the requested information within this time frame will result in denial of the funding requests." In contrast, the attachment concluded:

Please submit your responses to the above requests by no later than **fourteen (14)** calendar days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond to USAC's information requests in a timely manner and/or provide the requested documentation demonstrating compliance with the Commission's rules may result in denial of the funding request, a commitment adjustment, rejection of an invoice, and/or recovery of improperly disbursed funds. The responses you provide may also result in a follow-up information requests by USAC as necessary.

- 12. Sumter was requested to provide: (a) an explanation of "how the urban of \$100.00 was derived;" (b) "documentation to support the urban rate provided, including, but not limited to, documentation that supports that the urban rate for the requested service is 'no higher than the highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged to a commercial customer for a functionally similar service' in any city with a population of 50,000 or more in that state;" and (c) an "explanation how the HCP's request for 100 Mbps Ethernet service is 'functionally similar' to the services(s) used for purposes of this comparison."
- 13. Attachment 1 to this declaration is a copy of the email that I sent to the RHCD on March 29, 2017, which was in response the RCHD's information request. I provided the RHCD with a two-page rate card that showed AT&T's rates for its switched Ethernet Services effective May 1, 2016 and an excerpt from the "AT&T Ethernet Service Guide," which described the service. Those documents showed that BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC offered to provide 100 Mbps switched Ethernet service throughout Georgia at monthly charge of \$195.00 under a

three-year contract.

- 14. In my March 29, 2017 email, I asked the RHCD to confirm that it received my email. I also requested that the RHCD "let me know if we are missing anything."
- 15. Concerned that USAC had not approved the Forms 466s that UHC had filed that relied on the \$195.00 urban rate, I sent an email to Erica Stauter at USAC on April 14, 2017 in which I stated:

I wanted to ask about the Ethernet applications we filed and then resubmitted urban rates. We have not received any approvals on these and I wanted to make sure that you did not need anything else from us. Jeremy [Matkovich] told us our urban rates were fine, so I am just checking.

Some of our HCP [clients] are clamoring about their credits and I want to give them an answer.

16. On April 14, 2017, Blythe Albert responded to my email to Ms. Stauter. She sent me an email informing me as follows:

There seems to be some miscommunication about the forms below. These forms are being reviewed using the documentation provided. Until the reviews of all of these forms has been completed no commitments will be issued. During the review process, additional questions may be asked to verify the information provided. The attached email is the correspondence between you and Jeremy. He did not explicitly say that the urban rates were fine. The first sentence says, "If the monthly recurring cost for services(s) that the HCP is requesting only for the transport and does not include any service charges(s)....." We will reach out with more questions if necessary. Thanks.

- 17. I immediately sent Ms. Albert an email in which I asked her: "If they are not accepted, will you tell us before denying? We want to make sure we are providing the right urban rates." Ms. Albert did not answer my question.
- 18. Beginning on May 11, 2017, I began providing Ms. Albert with copies AT&T pricing schedules showing that AT&T offered 100 Mbps switched Ethernet service to HCPs at rates comparable to the \$195 urban rate specified in the Form 466s that the Sumter HCP submitted. I sent her rate schedules showing that AT&T had agreed to provide 100 Mbps switched Ethernet

services to an HCP in Hondo, Texas at a monthly rate of \$214.50, and to an HCP in Independence, Kansas at a monthly rate of \$235.95. These rates were good throughout all AT&T territories including Georgia. I offered to discuss the rate schedules with Ms. Albert, and I asked her if I could speak with the person who was reviewing the 195.00 urban rate.

19. Attachment 2 is a copy of the email that I sent USAC on behalf of Sumter on June 1, 2017. In my email, I stated:

I understand the \$195 urban rate is still under review. Since these FRNs have not been approved ... I am submitting a new urban rate, similar to the \$195, to be used if the \$195 is not accepted. I have attached the urban rate. This is to be used for the following [HCPs] and [FRNs].

HCP 15831 FRN 1690368

- 20. Attached to my email was a copy of a document showing that an AT&T customer had accepted the rates, terms and conditions of an AT&T switched Ethernet service pricing schedule. I circled the terms of the pricing schedule indicating that the urban rate for the Ethernet circuits should be \$214.50.
- 21. I fully expected that the RHCD would contact me if it had any questions with regard to the \$195 or the \$214.50 urban rate, and UHC would be afforded the opportunity to address any such questions before the RHCD would render its funding decisions. UHC was given no such opportunity. I asked Blythe Albert multiple times to talk to the reviewer and never received any communication from a reviewer.
- 22. On June 2, 2017, I was notified that USAC was "unable to provide support" to Sumter, specifically because it had not "demonstrated that the urban rate provided for the requested is 'no higher than the highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged to a commercial customer for a *functionally similar* service' in any city with a population of 50,000 or more in that state."
 - 23. I subsequently learned that the urban rate should have included AT&T's "Basic

Port" charge and its "Committed Information Rate" or "CIR." Accordingly, I went back to the AT&T pricing schedule that I sent Ms. Albert on May 15, 2017, and I circled the \$214.50 port charge and the appropriate CIR. I then wrote the information set forth in Table 3 on page 4 of the pricing schedule.

TABLE 3

BANDWIDTH	PORT CHARGE	CIR	TOTAL
5 Mbps	\$214.50	\$158.85	\$373.35
10 Mbps	\$214.50	\$255.00	\$464.50
20 Mbps	\$214.50	\$321.30	\$535.80
50 Mbps	\$214.50	\$371.25	\$585.75
100 Mbps	\$214.50	\$433.94	\$648.44

Attachment 3 consists of the emails that I sent the RHCD and Ms. Albert on June 12, 2017, and the AT&T pricing schedule that was an attachment to the first of my two emails. I requested feedback on whether the AT&T pricing schedule could be used to document urban rates that would be comprised of its basic port rate and a CIR. Thus, I proposed to use Ethernet urban rates set forth in Table 3 for Funding Year 2017. I inquired whether UHC would be given the opportunity to fix any problems that USAC would have with regard to the proposed urban rates. I also asked for a prompt response to my question so that UHC could complete applications for funding prior to the upcoming deadline.

25. Ms. Albert called me on June 13, 2017 and left the following message:

Hey Geoff, it's Blythe calling from USAC. My direct line is 202-772-5248. About that urban rate document, we've kind of can't talk about them outside of the review but it looks like it has a pretty decent information and a reviewer will definitely reach out to you. I would suggest just submitting your application using that urban rate document if that makes sense and they, the reviewer, will reach out to you and we'll see what comes of that, ok. Anyway, you can call me back but that's pretty much, you know, the best answer I can give you, we don't typically review documents outside of the review. But it, for all intents and purposes, looks like it has decent information to me, I'm not sure what the reviewer will come up with but they will definitely, no question, reach out to you. Ok? Thanks. Bye.

26. We believe if RHCD had reached out in a call to communicate their questions

they would have approved this application.

27. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 3c, 2017.

Geoff W. Boggs

ATTACHMENT 1

Geoff Boggs

From:

Geoff Boggs

Sent:

Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:34 AM

To:

'RHC-Assist'

Subject:

RE: Request for Information for HCP#(s) 15831 for FY 2016

Attachments:

AT&T Ethernet @ \$195.00.pdf

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

I have attached the AT&T tariff which is for up to a 100 Meg for \$195. That will cover this 100 Meg.

Please confirm receipt and let me know if we are missing anything.

Thanks

Geoff Boggs USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. P. O. Box 326 Prospect, KY 40059 502-228-1907 888-875-8810 Fax gboggs@uasave.com

From: RHC-Assist [mailto:rhc-assist@usac.org]
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2017 2:35 PM
To: ryoungda@phoebesumter.org

Cc: gboggs@uasave.com

Subject: Request for Information for HCP#(s) 15831 for FY 2016

Ross Youngdale,

Please see attached document for additional information regarding HCP number(s) 15831 for FY 2016.

Please submit your responses to these inquiries by no later than fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of this letter. Failure to provide the requested information within this time frame will result in denial of the funding requests.

The information contained in this electronic communication and any attachments and links to websites are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the person responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, be advised you have received this communication in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this communication and any attachments.

ATTACHMENT 2

Geoff Boggs

From:

Geoff Boggs

Sent:

Thursday, June 01, 2017 11:21 AM

To:

'RHC-Assist'; 'Nikoletta Theodoropoulos'; 'Blythe Albert'

Subject:

HCP 15831 2016 Applications

Attachments:

AT&T Ethernet contract \$214.00 Multi state.pdf

I understand the \$195 urban rate is still under review. Since these FRN's have not been approved and I am submitting a new urban rate, similar to the \$195, to be used if the \$195 is not accepted. I have attached the urban here. This is to be used for the following HCP's and FRN's.

HCP 15831 FRN 1690368

Please call me if you have any questions.

Geoff Boggs USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. P. O. Box 326 Prospect, KY 40059 502-228-1907 888-875-8810 Fax gboggs@uasave.com

ATTACHMENT 3

Geoff Boggs

From:

Geoff Boggs

Sent:

Monday, June 12, 2017 4:54 PM

To:

'RHC-Assist'; 'Blythe Albert'; 'Nikoletta Theodoropoulos'

Subject:

RE: 2017 Telecommunication Program Applications Urban Rate

Attachments:

AT&T Ethernet contract \$214.00 COS Multi state.pdf

Were you able to review this contract to be used as an urban rate for Ethernet circuits? I would appreciate some feedback.

Thanks,

Geoff Boggs USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. P. O. Box 326 Prospect, KY 40059 502-228-1907 888-875-8810 Fax gboggs@uasave.com

From: Geoff Boggs [mailto:gboggs@uasave.com]

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2017 7:57 AM

To: 'RHC-Assist' <rhc-assist@usac.org>; 'Blythe Albert' <Blythe.Albert@usac.org>; 'Nikoletta Theodoropoulos'

<Nikoletta.Theodoropoulos@usac.org>

Subject: 2017 Telecommunication Program Applications Urban Rate

Can you give me some feedback?

We are using this urban rate for some Ethernet circuits for the states covered on this contract. The speeds are from 2 Meg to 1 GIG.

If the services are non-Internet Ethernet circuits will this work as an urban rate?

If you have any questions on urban rates will you notify us and give an opportunity to fix it for 2017 applications?

Please respond as soon as possible so that we can complete the applications in question before the deadline.

Geoff Boggs
USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc.
P. O. Box 326
Prospect, KY 40059
502-228-1907
888-875-8810 Fax
gboggs@uasave.com

	WK# - Interstate-InterLATA - TBD	For AT&T Administrative Use Only
		Pricing Schedule No.
l		Original Effective Date:

AT&T Switched Ethernet ServicesM (with Network On Demand) Pricing Schedule Provided Pursuant to Custom Terms

1. SERVICE, SERVICE PROVIDER(S) and SERVICE PUBLICATION(S)

1.1 AT&T Switched Ethernet ServicesM

Dervice	Areas
Sanden Publica	tion location

(incorporated by reference) AT&T Switched Ethernel ServiceSM AT&T Switched Ethernel ServiceSM AT&T Switched Ethernel ServiceSM	Service	Service Publication	Service Publication location
AT&T Switched Ethernel SenticeSM AT&T Suitched Ethernel Sentice Guide http://example.com/cest/		(incorporated by reference)	
AT AT SWIICHES Established Schemes Service Suice Into, incp., ast, compound into incomposition into the service into incomposition in the service in th	AT&T Switched Ethernel ServicesM	AT&T Switched Ethernet Service Guide	hllp://cpr.att.com/pdf/commonEthServGuide.html.

		Service Providera	
AT&T Alabama	AT&T indlana	AT&T Missouri	AT&T Tennessee
AT&T Arkansas	AT&T Kansas	AT&T Nevada	AT&T Texas
AT&T California	AT&T Kentucky	AT&T North Cardina	AT&T Wisconsin
AT&T Florida	AT&T Louisiana	AT&T Ohio	BallSouth Telecommunications
AT&T Georgia	AT&T Michigan	AT&T Oklahoma	LLC d/b/a AT&T Southeast
AT&T Illinois	AT&T Mississipoi	AT&T South Cardina	

1.2 Inside Wiring

	· _ •	
- 1	Service	AT&T Inside Wiring
	1 2011108	A DE LA COLLEGA VANDA

Service Provider	Service Publication	Service Publication Location
Same as the AT&T Service Provider for the	AT&T Inside Wiring Service Attachment	http://cpr.att.com/pdf/service_publications/AS
AT&T Switched Ethernel Service		E SDN Inside Wiring Allachment.pdf

2. PRICING SCHEDULE TERM, EFFECTIVE DATES

X	lerm	
38 months		

	Pricing Schedule Term	36 months	
1	Pricing following the end of Pricing Schedule Term	Non-stabilized prices as modified from time to time in applicable Service Publication or, if there is no such pricing, the pricing in this Pricing Schedule	ľ

3. MINIMUM PAYMENT PERIOD

Service Components	Percentage of Monthly Recurring Charge Applied for Calculation of Early Termination Charges*	Minimum Payment Period per Service Component
All Service Components	50% plus any unpaid or waived	I Intil and of Origina Pahadula Term
	non-recurring charges	Until end of Pricing Schedule Term
*Early termination charges shall not exceed to	he total amount of monthly recurring charges for the remai	nder of the Minimum Payment Period:

*Early termination charges shall not exceed the total amount of monthly recurring charges for the remainder of the Minimum Payment Period; refer to Network on Demand Guide for details.

4. ADDS

AT&T Switched Ethernet Service Customer Port Connections may be purchased during the Pricing Schedule Term at the rates, terms and conditions herein.

pcs_processed_cs_approved	AT&T and Customer Confidential Information	ASE_NoD_ps_iLEC_elool_customer
L	Page 3 of 5	V.09-17-15 1

¥	ETHERNET	URBAN	RATES
	ニハモハレビー	0.00/110	トグニアン

Contract ld: 4870831

111017001	_	**	,	7	, ,	-	-
WK# - Interstate-InterLATA - TBD							For AT&T Administrative Use Only
							Pricing Schedule No.
							Original Effective Date:

AT&T Switched Ethernet Service^{5M} (with Network On Demand) Pricing Schedule Provided Pursuant to Custom Terms
5. RATES and CHARGES

5.1 AT&T SWITCHED ETHERNET SERVICE

5.1.1 Monthly Recurring Charges (MRC)

All Monthly Recurring Charge (MRC) rates are per port. The total MRC for a port is the sum of the Port Connection MRC, the Bandwickh MRC, and any associated Feature MRC(s).

Port Connection MRC

Customer Port Connection Speed	MRC	
100 Mbps	\$214.5	
1 Gbps	\$214.5	
	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Bandwidth MRC

If Customer changes the CIR and/or CoS configuration during the billing cycle, the Bandwidth MRC will be prorated based on the time interval for each configuration.

A					
Committed information Rate (CIR)	Non Critical High	Business Critical Medium	Business Critical High	Interactive	Real Time
2 Mbps	\$91.09	\$94.23	\$113,08	\$133.49	\$144.49
4 Mbps	\$107.34	\$110.50	\$129.44	\$145.80	\$157.85
5 Mbps	\$136.61	\$142.97	\$158.85	\$174.74	\$187.44
8 Mbps	\$180,68	\$187.50	\$202.84	\$216 47	\$231.81
10 Mbps	\$210.80	\$221.00	\$255.00	\$289.00	\$309.40
20 Mbps	\$276.32	\$289.17	\$321.30	\$353.43	\$379.13
50 Mbps	\$323.40	\$338.25	\$371.25	\$404.25	\$435.60
100 Mbps	\$380.53	\$400.56	\$433.94	\$467,32	\$500.70
150 Mbps	\$530.94	\$557,29	\$582.82	\$607.95	\$652.53
250 Mbps	\$604.95	\$635.20	\$715.86	\$796.52	\$855.00
400 Mbps	\$665.91	\$699.50	\$778.54	\$857.58	\$920.82
500 Mbps	\$707,17	\$742.33	\$820,47	\$898.61	\$965.03
600 Mbps	\$809.63	\$849.73	\$939.47	\$1002.49	\$1073.14
1000 Mbps	\$918.26	\$965.11	\$1040.07	\$1115.03	\$1195.61

					1	•	11		1
5	W 2145	D IOM	31400	204	21450	50M	रापकः	1004	21450
	158	86	26500		32/20	•	37125		433.94
	Feeture MRC	35 B	464.50	# (5350	8	50007	5	141244
		Featu	re				MRC		
	L	Enhanced #	iuiticast				\$70		

5.1.2 Non Recurring Charges (NRC)

Standard Non Recurring Charges for installation of new Customer Port Connections, per the applicable Service Publication, will be waived.

pcs_processed_ce_approved	AT&T and Customer Confidential Information	ASE_NoD_ps_ILEC_elool_customer
		Voc"inon"ha"inco"anna/"tratotuet
	Page 4 of 5	v.09-17-15.1

Contract ld: 4870831



AT&T MA Reference No. 138180UA AT&T Contract ID No. SDN50MJUPR

AT&T SWITCHED ETHERNET SERVICE® (with NETWORK ON DEMAND) Pricing Schedule Provided Pursuant to Custom Terms

Customer	by its authoriz	zad repres	entative)		\	
BY SEL	4	-to	1		1	
Printed or Y	yped KE	IIN	Frose	<i>બ</i>		
Title:	CPO					
Date:	8	25	2016			

EXHIBIT 2

FCC Form **466**

Health Care Providers Universal Service Funding Request and Certification Form

Approval by OMB 3060—0804

The deadline to submit this form is the June 30th end of the funding year.

Estimated time per response: 3 hours

Read instructions thoroughly before completing this form. Failure to comply may cause delayed or denied funding.

Blo	ck 1: HCP Information						
1	HCP Name Phoebe Sumter Regional Hospital		2 HCP Number 15831				
3	Form 465 Application #43164812 4 Cons	ortium Name (If any)					
	ck 2: Bill Payer Information						
5	Billed Entity Name Sumter Regional Hospital	·	6 Billed Entity FCC RN 0001826197				
	Contact Name Ross Youngdale						
8	Address Line 1 126 Hwy 280 W						
9	Address Line 2						
10	City Americus		11 State GA 12 Zip 31719				
	Contact Phone # 229-931-1247 14 Fax #	15 Email ryoungda@phoebesumter.org					
_	ck 3: Funding Year Information						
16	Funding Year - Check only one box Year 2014 (7/1/2014-6/30/2015)]Year 2015 (7/1/2015-6/30	/2016) × Year 2016 (7/1/2016-6/30/2017)				
Blo	ock 4: Service Information						
17	17 Type of Service & Circuit Bandwidth (Documentation required) Ethernet 100M						
18	Total Billed Miles 0	19 Maximum Allov	vable Distance (From Form 465) 127				
20	Percentage of HCP's service used for the provision of h	ealth care. 100	(If less than 100%, please explain.)				
	If the HCP indicated it is a part-time eligible entity (on Form 465), describe method of allocating prorated support.						
(4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	THE CASE TO SELECT THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRAC						
21	Service Provider Name	Water Gas & Light					
\vdash	Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN)	143026025					
23	Service Provider Contact Person Name	Takeashi Price					
24	Service Provider Contact Person's Phone #	229-431-2804					
25	Service Provider Contact Person Email	TPrice@dougherty.ga.us					
26	Circuit Start Location	126 Hwy 280 W Americus GA31719					
27	Circuit Termination Location	400 W 3rd Ave Albany GA 31701					
28	Billing Account Number	215891-83976	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
29	Tariff, Contract or other document reference number	N/A `					
30	Date Contract Signed or Date HCP Selected Carrier	07/01/2014					
31	Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy or NA if MTM)	мтм					
32	Service Installation Date	08/24/2011					
33	Actual Rural Rate per Month (Enclose Documentation)	2450.00					
34	If you are a consortium member OR have multiple carrinterconnect and which carrier(s) provides each circuit	• •	Diagram to show how the sites agram included: Yes X No				
35	Are you a mobile rural health care provider?	Yes x No If yes, see	e instructions and attach a list of all sites to be served.				

IF YOU ARE REQUESTING SUPPORT FOR MILEAGE-BASED CHARGES, COMPLETE BLOCK 5 ONLY AND SKIP BLOCK 6. (PLEASE SEE						
INSTRUCTIONS). IF YOU ARE REQUESTING SUPPORT BASED ON URBAN/RURAL RATE COMPARISON, SKIP BLOCK 5 AND						
COMPLETE ONLY BLOCK 6, YOUR APPLICATION CANNOT BE PROCESSED IF BOTH BLOCKS ARE COMPLETED.						
Block 5: Mileage-based Charge Discount Request						
Complete this block if you are seeking support for mileage (distance-based) charges	only. Do not enter any other charges in this block. You may need					
to ask your service provider representative to provide this information						
36 Billed Circuit Miles						
37 Monthly Mileage Charges (Exclude Channel Termination chgs, etc.)						
38 Cost per Mile per Month						
If Line 33 equals Line 37, please ensure that ONLY mileage-related charges are included in Line 37. (See instructions.)						
Block 6: Comprehensive Rate Comparison Request Complete Block 6 if you have not completed Block 5 and are requesting support for a	Il alamente of your talegommunications conice necessary for					
the provision of health care. The information in this block will establish the difference						
Please contact RHCD at (800 453-1546 if you need assistance.	bottoon the disant and talantated for your requested control.					
39 One-time Urban Rate Charge (in selected large city)						
40 One-time Rural Rate Charge (in city where HCP is located)						
41 Monthly Urban Rate (in selected large city), From RHCD						
website: or Other rate documentation attached:						
If your circuit includes charges for mileage over the Maximum Allowable Dist., (L	ine 19), please complete Lines 42 to 44. Otherwise, skip to Block 7.					
42 Billed Circuit Miles						
43 Monthly Mileage Based Charges						
44 Cost per Mile per Month						
Block 7: Bid Documentation						
45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post	ted on the RHCD website? Yes x No					
If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD.						
Block 8: Certification						
46 x I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the					
requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is define						
lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, re	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
necessary for the service to adequately transmit the health care services	required by the health care provider.					
47 x Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or	•					
requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, inc	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
service benefits provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254. I understand that an	•					
made available for the benefit of the applicant may be subject to rescission						
48 x I hereby certify that the billed entity will maintain complete billing records	for the service for five years.					
49 x I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above						
form and attachments and that to the best of my knowledge, information, 50 Signature	[54 D.4.					
Copy aver	07/31/2017					
52 Printed name of authorized person Geoffrey Boggs	53 Title or position of authorized person CEO					
54 Employer of authorized person USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc	55 Employer's FCC RN 0018694075					

Please remember:

- You must submit one Form 466 for each service (i.e., circuit) for which you request reduced rates. For example:
 - If you are requesting reduced rates for two T1 lines, you must submit two Forms 466.
 - If you are requesting reduced rates for two ISDN lines & one Frame Relay line, you must submit three Forms 466.
- If the service described on this form is subject to the 28-day competitive bidding requirement, do not select a carrier or complete the Form 466 before or during the 28-day posting period.
- You must provide evidence of the urban rate if you have completed Block 6 and have not used the urban rates from the website.
- This form, attachments, and supporting documents should be combined in one envelope and sent to the RHCD.
- If the service described on this form changes (e.g., rate change) during the funding year, you must notify RHCD immediately and submit a revised Form 466.
- If you have any questions, contact RHCD at (800) 453-1546.

Persons willfully making false statements on this form can be punished by fine or forfeiture under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Secs. 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1001.

FCC NOTICE FOR INDIVIDUALS REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT AND THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

Part 3 of the Commission's Rules authorize the FCC to request the information on this form. The data reported will be used to ensure that health care providers have selected the most cost-effective method of providing the requested services as set forth in 47 C.F.R. Section 54.603(b)(4). The information will be used by the Universal Service Administrative Company and/or the staff of the Federal Communications Commission, to evaluate this form, to provide information for enforcement and rulemaking proceedings and to maintain a current inventory of applicants, health care providers, billed entities, and service providers. No authorization can be granted unless all information requested is provided. Failure to provide all requested information will delay the processing of the application or result in the application being returned without action. Information requested by this form will be available for public inspection. Your response is required to obtain the requested authorization.

The public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the required data, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. If you have any comments on this burden estimate, or how we can improve the collection and reduce the burden it causes you, please write to the Federal Communications Commission, AMD-PERM, Paperwork Reduction Act Project (3060-0804), Washington, DC 20554. We will also accept your comments regarding the Paperwork Reduction Act aspects of this collection via the Internet if you send them to pra@fcc.gov. PLEASE DO NOT SEND YOUR RESPONSE TO THIS ADDRESS.

Remember - You are not required to respond to a collection of information sponsored by the Federal government, and the government may not conduct or sponsor this collection, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number or if we fail to provide you with this notice. This collection has been assigned an OMB control number of 3060-0804.

THE FOREGOING NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974, PUBLIC LAW 93-579, DECEMBER 31, 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(3) AND THE PAPEWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, PUBLIC LAW 104-13, OCTOBER 1, 1995, 44 U.S.C. SECTION 3507.

This form should be submitted online through the RHC Program online application system, My Portal. https://forms.universalservice.org/usaclogin/login.asp Sumter Regional Hospital 126 Hwy 280 Americus, GA 31719

SA: 126 Hwy 280 W Americus GA 31719 End: 400 W 3rd Ave Albany GA 31701

Still receiving 36 month contracted rate.

HCP:

15831

Vendor:

Water, Gas and Light Commission

Spin:

143026025

Acct #

215891-83976

Rural Rate

100M PTP Ethernet

Cost

\$2,450.00

Urban Rate

\$1,296.88(648.88 x 2)

Urban – 36 month AT&T switched Ethernet Contract



Previous Balance Payment Received Total New Charges

Albany Utilities

207 Pine Ave. P.O. Box 1788 Albany, GA 31702-1788

Phone: (229) 883-8330

Customer Name: PHOEBE SUMTER MEDICAL CENTER INC (TELECO

Summary of Charges

Service Address: 126 US Highway 280 W

Service

To receive future statements electronically, visit https://albanywgl.estmt.net

Your Registration ID:

Smartphone users scan here 🛁



3130-730X-KDTL

Bill Date	Account #
08/09/2016	215891-83976
Total Due	Due Date
-10 338 88	31-AUG-2016

Utility Statement

Service Rate

Mbps Ethernet

Description

100Mbps 126 Hwy 280 W To 400 W 3rd

Charges -12,788.88 0.00 2,450.00

> Current Charges

2450.00

9114PTCU 5/11/16 CMYK

Please detach this portion and remit with your payment



Albany Utilities Albany 207 Pine Ave. P.O. Box 1788 Albany, GA 31702-1788 Phone: (229) 883-8330

Any unpaid past due balance is subject to immediate cut-off as notified on prior statements. Current charges are subject to a late fee after 5:00pm on the Due Date. Any unpaid amount will be subject to cut-off and service fee 10 days from due date. No other notice will be sent.

215891-83976 Account # Due Date 31-AUG-2016 **Current Charges** 2,450.00 Past Due 0.00 **Total Due** -10,338.88

Amount Enclosed

4237193-11-5 1 1

PHOEBE SUMTER MEDICAL CENTER INC (TELECO **ROSS YOUNGDALE** 126 US HIGHWAY 280 W AMERICUS GA 31719-8645

յլ[ել]իդովոլելիլովիովիրդիլըը||ՄԵԵւկ|||Միլիվիդովորյես **ALBANY UTILITIES** PO BOX 1788 ALBANY GA 31702-1788